



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,957	12/31/2001	Sithya S. Khieu	53325US002	8431	
32692	7590 05/08/2003				
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			HARTMANN, GARY S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3671		

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	_		_
*		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		10/039,957	KHIEU ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Gary Hartmann	3671
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, apply received by the Office later than three months after the different patient of the patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on	
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	∑ This action is non-final.	
3)[	Since this application is in condition for		
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app	lication.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
	on Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Ex		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 200		•
44) 🗆 -	Applicant may not request that any objection		
' ')	The proposed drawing correction filed on	, , ,	Isapproved by the Examiner.
12) 🗆 -	If approved, corrected drawings are require The oath or declaration is objected to by	• •	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	uie Laminer.	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 25 U.C.C.	2 440(a) (d) as (6
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:	Totalgh phonly under 35 0.5.C.	3 119(a)-(d) 01 (l).
a)ر	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	umanta haya haan rasaiyad	
	<ul><li>2.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc</li></ul>		nation No
	<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>		<u></u>
	application from the Internatio ee the attached detailed Office action fo	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for de	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
_ a)	☐ The translation of the foreign langua cknowledgment is made of a claim for d	ge provisional application has be	een received.
Attachment		prizzing white is 0.0.0.0.	99 .30 Wildie: 121.
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tra	domad. Off		

Application/Control Number: 10/039,957

Art Unit: 3671

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9, 10, and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark et al. (U.S. Patent 5,853,846). Clark et al. discloses forming an array of pavement elements (6) interconnected by a carrier web (4, 12, 21). The connection between elements (Figure 5, for example) is frangible.

The configurations of webs (4, 12, 21) meet the recitations of claims 2-4.

The adhesive (8) is optionally pressure sensitive, and there is a liner (10) covering the adhesive.

Regarding claim 9, webs 4 and 12 are polymeric materials and web 21 is a non-woven web.

The elements are adhered to a pavement surface.

Particles (16) meet the recitation of single bead durable paving elements.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ryan et al. (U.S. Patent 4,123,140). Ryan et al. discloses forming an array of pavement elements (Figure 5, for example) interconnected by a carrier web (10, 13). The connection between elements is frangible.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,957

Art Unit: 3671

The configurations of webs (10, 13) meet the recitations of claims 2-4.

The adhesive (51) is preferably pressure sensitive, and there is a liner (51b) covering the adhesive.

The web is severed.

The web can be paper or polymeric material.

Beads (22) meet the recitation of retroreflective lens.

The elements are adhered to a pavement surface.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 7, 11, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark et al. as applied above, and further in view of Ryan et al., as applied above. Clark et al. is silent with respect to severing the apparatus. Ryan et al. teaches cutting a paving element formed on a carrier web. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have cut the apparatus of Clark et al. in order to suit a particular application.

Art Unit: 3671

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references teach pavement markings.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

gh May 5, 2003

> Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671